arXiv:1408.5927v1 [math.CO] 25 Aug 2014

Saturation numbers in tripartite graphs

Eric Sullivan*and Paul S. Wenger†

August 27, 2014

Abstract

Given graphs H and F, a subgraph $G \subseteq H$ is an *F-saturated subgraph* of H if $F \nsubseteq G$, but $F \subseteq G + e$ for all $e \in E(H) \setminus E(G)$. The *saturation number of F in H*, denoted $\text{sat}(H, F)$, is the minimum number of edges in an F-saturated subgraph of H. In this paper we study saturation numbers of tripartite graphs in tripartite graphs. For $\ell \geq 1$ and n_1, n_2, and n_3 sufficiently large, we determine $\text{sat}(K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}, K_{\ell,\ell,\ell})$ and $\text{sat}(K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}, K_{\ell,\ell,\ell-1})$ exactly and $\text{sat}(K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}, K_{\ell,\ell,\ell-2})$ within an additive constant. We also include general constructions of $K_{\ell,m,p}$-saturated subgraphs of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3} with few edges for $\ell \geq m \geq p > 0$.

Keywords: 05C35; saturation; tripartite; subgraph

1 Introduction

In this paper, all graphs are simple and we let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote the vertex set and edge set of the graph G, respectively. Let $\overline{G}$ denote the complement of G. For a set of vertices $S \subseteq V(G)$, we let $G[S]$ denote the induced subgraph of G on S.

Given a graph F, a graph G is *F-saturated* if F is not a subgraph of G but F is a subgraph of $G + e$ for any edge $e \in E(\overline{G})$. The *saturation number* of F is the minimum size of an n-vertex F-saturated graph, and is denoted $\text{sat}(n, F)$. Saturation numbers were first studied by Erdős, Hajnal, and Moon [3], who proved that $\text{sat}(n, K_k) = (k-2)n - \binom{k-1}{2}$ and characterized the n-vertex K_k-saturated graphs with this number of edges. For a thorough account of the results known about saturation numbers, the reader should consult the excellent survey of Faudree, Faudree, and Schmitt [4].

Because saturation numbers consider the addition of any edge from $\overline{G}$ to G, it is natural in this setting to think of G as a subgraph of the complete graph K_n. In this paper we consider saturation numbers when G is treated as a subgraph of a complete tripartite graph.

*Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of Colorado Denver, Denver, CO; eric.2.sullivan@ucdenver.edu

†School of Mathematical Sciences, Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester, NY; pswsma@rit.edu.

Let F and H be graphs be fixed graphs; we call H the *host graph*. A subgraph G of H is an *F-saturated subgraph* of H if F is not a subgraph of G, but F is a subgraph of $G+e$ for all $e \in E(H) \setminus E(G)$. The *saturation number of F in H* is the minimum number of edges in an F-saturated subgraph of of H, and is denoted $\mathrm{sat}(H, F)$. With this notation, $\mathrm{sat}(n, F) = \mathrm{sat}(K_n, F)$.

The first result on saturation numbers in host graphs that are not complete is from a related problem in bipartite graphs. Let $\mathrm{sat}(K_{(n_1,n_2)}, K_{(\ell,m)})$ denote the minimum number of edges in a bipartite G graph on the vertex set $V_1 \cup V_2$ where $|V_i| = n_i$ such that: 1) G does not contain $K_{\ell,m}$ with ℓ vertices in V_1 and m vertices in V_2, and 2) the addition of any edge joining V_1 and V_2 yields a copy of $K_{\ell,m}$ with ℓ vertices in V_1 and m vertices in V_2. This parameter is the minimization analogue of the Zarankiewicz number. Bollobás and Wessel [1, 2, 8, 9] independently proved that $\mathrm{sat}(K_{(n_1,n_2)}, K_{(\ell,m)}) = (m-1)n_1 + (\ell-1)n_2 - (m-1)(\ell-1)$ for $2 \le \ell \le n_1$ and $2 \le m \le n_2$, confirming a conjecture of Erdős, Hajnal, and Moon from [3].

In [7], Moshkovitz and Shapira studied saturation numbers in d-uniform d-partite hypergraphs. When $d = 2$, this reduces to saturation numbers of bipartite graphs in bipartite graphs. They provided a construction showing that $\mathrm{sat}(K_{n,n}, K_{\ell,m}) \le (\ell+m-2)n - \left\lfloor \left(\frac{(\ell+m-2)}{2}\right)^2 \right\rfloor$ and conjectured that the bound is sharp for n sufficiently large. This upper bound shows that for n sufficiently large, $\mathrm{sat}(K_{n,n}, K_{\ell,m}) < \mathrm{sat}(K_{(n,n)}, K_{(\ell,m)})$. Recently, Gan, Korándi and Sudakov [6] showed that $\mathrm{sat}(K_{n,n}, K_{\ell,m}) \ge (\ell+m-2)n - (\ell+m-2)^2$ and proved that the Moshkovitz-Shapira bound is sharp for $K_{2,3}$, the first nontrivial case.

Let K_k^n denote the complete k-partite graph in which each partite set has order n. In [5], Ferrara, Jacobson, Pfender, and the second author studied the saturation number of K_3 in balanced multipartite graphs. They proved that if $k \ge 3$ and $n \ge 100$, then

$$\mathrm{sat}(K_k^n, K_3) = \min\{2kn + n^2 - 4k - 1, 3kn - 3n - 6\}.$$

Furthermore, they characterized the K_3-saturated subgraphs of K_k^n of minimum size.

The focus of this paper is the saturation numbers in complete tripartite graphs. In Section 2, we provide constructions of $K_{\ell,m,p}$-saturated subgraphs of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3} with small size. In Section 3, we determine $\mathrm{sat}(K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}, K_{\ell,\ell,\ell})$ and $\mathrm{sat}(K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}, K_{\ell,\ell,\ell-1})$ and characterize the $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell}$-saturated subgraphs and $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell-1}$-saturated subgraphs of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3} of minimum size. In Section 4, we prove that for $\mathrm{sat}(K_{n,n,n}, K_{\ell,\ell,\ell-2})$, the upper bound obtained from the construction in Section 2 is correct within an additive constant depending on ℓ. Finally, Section 5 contains various conjectures and open questions for future work.

Throughout the paper, we will assume that $n_1 \ge n_2 \ge n_3$, and that the partite sets of

K_{n_1,n_2,n_3} are V_1, V_2, and V_3 with $|V_i| = n_i$. We label the vertices in V_i as $V_i = \{v_i^1, \ldots, v_i^{n_i}\}$. When G is a tripartite graph on the vertex set $V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3$ we let $\delta_i(G)$ denote the minimum degree of the vertices in V_i. When the graph in question is clear we simply write δ_i. For a vertex $v \in G$, we let $N_i(v)$ denote the set of neighbors of v in set V_i; that is, $N_i(v) = N(v) \cap V_i$. Similarly, if S is a set of vertices in G, then $N_i(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} N_i(v)$. Throughout the paper, all arithmetic in subscripts is performed modulo 3. We also use $[k]$ to denote the set $\{1, \ldots, k\}$.

2 Constructions of saturated subgraphs of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}

This section contains constructions of $K_{\ell,m,p}$-saturated subgraphs of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3} with few edges. We begin with two constructions of $K_{\ell,m,p}$-saturated subgraphs of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3} when $m = p$. The reader is invited to keep in mind the particular case of $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell}$, in which the constructions are greatly simplified and which we prove are best possible in Section 3.

Construction 1. Let ℓ and m be positive integers such that $\ell \geq m$. Let $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq n_3 \geq \max\{\ell + 2, 3\ell - 2m - 2\}$. For each $i \in [3]$, let S_i be the m-vertex set $\{v_i^{n_i - m + 1}, \ldots, v_i^{n_i}\}$ and join S_i to V_{i+1}, and V_{i+2}. When $\ell > m$, add the following edges, where arithmetic in the superscripts of vertices in V_i is performed modulo $n_i - m$:

1. for $a \in [n_3 - m]$, join v_3^a to $\{v_1^a, \ldots, v_1^{a+\ell-m-1}\} \cup \{v_2^a, \ldots, v_2^{a+\ell-m-1}\}$;
2. for $a \in [n_2 - m]$, join v_2^a to $\{v_1^{a+\ell-m}, \ldots, v_1^{a+2\ell-2m-1}\}$.

Finally, in all cases, remove the edges $v_1^{n_1}v_2^{n_2}$, $v_1^{n_1}v_3^{n_3}$, and $v_2^{n_2}v_3^{n_3}$ (see Figure 1). We call this graph G_1.

For a set of integers S, let $S \bmod n$ denote the set of residues of the elements of S modulo n. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(G_1) = \big(&\{v_i^r v_j^s : i \in [3], j \in [3], i \neq j, n_i - m + 1 \leq r \leq n_i \text{ or } n_j - m + 1 \leq s \leq n_j\} \\ &\cup \{v_3^a v_j^b : j \in \{1,2\}, a \in [n_3 - m], b \in \{a, \ldots, a + \ell - m - 1\} \bmod (n_j - m)\} \\ &\cup \{v_2^a v_1^b : a \in [n_2 - m], b \in \{a + \ell - m, \ldots, a + 2\ell - 2m - 1\} \bmod (n_1 - m)\}\big) \\ &\setminus \{v_1^{n_1}v_2^{n_2}, v_1^{n_1}v_3^{n_3}, v_2^{n_2}v_3^{n_3}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For the particular case of $K_{1,1,1}$, Construction 1 reduces to the obvious extension of the tripartite case of Construction 2 from [5].

Our next construction describes a family of three $K_{\ell,m,p}$-saturated subgraphs of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3} for the case when $m = p$. It is a very slight modification of Construction 1.



Figure 1: Construction 1: A $K_{\ell,m,m}$-saturated subgraph of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}. Solid lines denote complete joins between sets, and dotted lines denote edges that have been removed. The lines marked with "max degree $\ell - m$" represent the edges described in items 1 and 2 of Construction 1.

Construction 2. For $i \in [3]$, let G_2^i be the graph obtained from the graph from Construction 1 by removing the set $\{v_i^{n_i} v_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}}, v_i^{n_i - 1} v_{i+2}^{n_{i+2}}, v_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} v_{i+2}^{n_{i+2}}\}$ instead of $\{v_1^{n_1} v_2^{n_2}, v_1^{n_1} v_3^{n_3}, v_2^{n_2} v_3^{n_3}\}$ (see Figure 2).

Theorem 1. *Let ℓ and m be positive integers such that $\ell \geq m$. For $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq n_3 \geq \max\{\ell + 2, 3\ell - 2m - 1\}$, the graphs from Construction 1 and Construction 2 are $K_{\ell,m,m}$-saturated subgraphs of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}. Thus,*

$$\mathrm{sat}(K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}, K_{\ell,m,m}) \leq 2m(n_1 + n_2 + n_3) + (\ell - m)(n_2 + 2n_3) - 3\ell m - 3.$$

Proof. Let G be a graph from Construction 1 or 2. By construction, $G - (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3)$ is triangle-free. Therefore, if $v \in V_i \setminus S_i$, then $G[N(v)]$ does not contain $K_{\ell,m}$ as a subgraph. Since $G[S_i \cup S_{i+1}]$ is not a complete bipartite graph, it then follows that G is $K_{\ell,m,m}$-free.

Let $e = uv$ be a nonedge in G. We show that $G + e$ contains $K_{\ell,m,m}$; there are two cases to consider.

Case 1: *e joins two vertices in $S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3$.* If e joins S_i and S_{i+1}, then $G + e$ contains $K_{\ell,m,m}$ on the vertices $\{v_{i+2}^1, \ldots, v_{i+2}^\ell\} \cup S_i \cup S_{i+1}$.

Case 2: *e joins two vertices in $V(G) \setminus (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3)$.* Let $i, j \in [3]$ such that $i < j$, and assume that $e = v_j^a v_i^b$ where $a \in [n_j - m]$ and $b \in [n_i - m]$. Let k be the third value in $[3]$.



Figure 2: Construction 2: A $K_{\ell,m,m}$-saturated subgraph of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}. Solid lines denote complete joins between sets, and dotted lines denote edges that have been removed. The lines marked with "max degree $\ell - m$" represent the edges described in items 1 and 2 of Construction 1.

Let $x_i \in S_i$ and $x_j \in S_j$ be the vertices that have a nonneighbor in S_k. By construction, $S_i - x_i$ is completely joined to $S_j - x_j$. In this case, $G + e$ contains $K_{\ell,m,m}$ on the vertex set $(N_i(v_j^a) + v_i^b - x_i) \cup (S_j + v_j^a - x_j) \cup S_k$. □

We now construct $K_{\ell,m,p}$-saturated subgraphs of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3} when $m > p$. Like Constructions 1 and 2, the subgraph of this construction induced by $(V_1 \setminus S_1) \cup (V_2 \setminus S_2) \cup (V_3 \setminus S_3)$ consists of bipartite graphs with maximum degree $\ell - m$. Unlike Constructions 1 and 2, the vertices in this set have fewer than ℓ neighbors in the other partite sets. Therefore it is not necessary to specify completely the neighborhoods of these vertices.

Construction 3. Let ℓ, m, and p be positive integers such that $\ell \geq m > p$. Let $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq n_3 \geq \ell$. For each $i \in [3]$ let S_i be an $(m-1)$-vertex subset of V_i and join S_i to V_{i+1} and V_{i+2}. For $i < j$, join $V_i \setminus S_i$ to $V_j \setminus S_j$ with an $(\ell - m)(n_j - m + 1)$-edge graph with maximum degree $\ell - m$. Thus each vertex in $V_j \setminus S_j$ has exactly $\ell - m$ neighbors in $V_i \setminus S_i$, and each vertex in $V_i \setminus S_i$ has at most $\ell - m$ neighbors in $V_j \setminus S_j$.

Theorem 2. *Let ℓ, m, and p be positive integers such that $\ell \geq m > p$. For $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq n_3 \geq \ell$, the graph from Construction 3 is a $K_{\ell,m,p}$-saturated subgraph of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}. Thus,*

$$\mathrm{sat}(K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}, K_{\ell,m,p}) \leq 2(m-1)(n_1+n_2+n_3) + (\ell-m)(n_2+2n_3) - 3\ell(m-1) + 3m - 3.$$



Figure 3: Construction 3: A $K_{\ell,m,p}$-saturated subgraph of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3} for $m > p$. Solid lines denote complete joins between sets. The lines marked with "max degree $\ell - m$" represent the $(\ell - m)(n_j - m + 1)$-edge graphs with maximum degree $\ell - m$ used in Construction 3.

Proof. Let G be the graph described in Construction 3. Let $i \in [3]$. If $v \in V_i \setminus S_i$, then v has at most $\ell - 1$ neighbors in V_{i+1} and at most $\ell - 1$ neighbors in V_{i+2}. Since there are only $m - 1$ vertices in S_i, it follows that G does not contain $K_{\ell,m}$, and therefore G is $K_{\ell,m,p}$-free.

Let $i, j \in [3]$ such that $i < j$, and let k be the third value in $[3]$. Let e be a nonedge in G joining $v_i \in V_i$ and $v_j \in V_j$. Thus $G + e$ contains $K_{\ell,m,m-1}$ on the vertex set $(N_i(v_j) + v_i) \cup (S_j + v_j) \cup S_k$. Since $m > p$, it follows that $G + e$ contains $K_{\ell,m,p}$. □

We include two final constructions in the special case of $K_{\ell,m,p}$-saturated subgraphs of $K_{n,n,n}$. These constructions are inspired by the $K_{\ell,m}$-saturated subgraphs of $K_{n,n}$ used in [7] and [6]. When the host graph is balanced, Constructions 1, 2, and 3 contain large $(\ell - m)$-regular graphs; we will replace those graphs with graphs with slightly fewer edges.

Construction 4. Let ℓ and m be positive integers such that $\ell \geq m$ and let

$$n \geq \max\left\{\ell + 2, 3\ell + \left\lfloor \frac{\ell - m}{2} \right\rfloor - 2m - 2\right\}.$$

For each $i \in [3]$, let $S_i = \{v_i^1, \ldots, v_i^m\}$ and join S_i to V_{i+1} and V_{i+2}. Let $t = \left\lfloor \frac{\ell - m}{2} \right\rfloor$, and for each $i \in [3]$ let $T_i = \{v_i^{m+1}, \ldots, v_i^{m+t}\}$. For all $i \in [3]$, completely join T_i to T_{i+1}. Let $\bigcup_{i \in [3]}(V_i \setminus (S_i \cup T_i))$ span a triangle-free tripartite graph so that for all $i \in [3]$, each vertex in $V_i \setminus (S_i \cup T_i)$ has exactly $\ell - m$ neighbors in both $V_{i+1} \setminus (S_{i+1} \cup T_{i+1})$ and $V_{i+2} \setminus (S_{i+2} \cup T_{i+2})$

(such a graph is easily obtained using items 1 and 2 from Construction 1). Finally, remove the edges $\{v_1^1v_2^1, v_1^1v_3^1, v_2^1v_3^1\}$ (see Figure 4).



Figure 4: Construction 4: A $K_{\ell,m,m}$-saturated subgraph of $K_{n,n,n}$. Solid lines denote complete joins between sets, and dotted lines denote edges that have been removed. The lines marked with "$(\ell - m)$-regular" represent the triangle-free tripartite graph used in Construction 4.

It is possible to modify Construction 4 so that the edges removed induce P_4 rather than K_3 as in Construction 2 (for instance, remove $\{v_i^1v_{i+1}^1, v_i^2v_{i+2}^1, v_{i+1}^1v_{i+2}^1\}$). Since we do not prove that these constructions are best possible nor that they characterize the $K_{\ell,m,m}$-saturated subgraphs of $K_{n,n,n}$ of minimum size, we do not include this variant as a separate construction.

We now present a $K_{\ell,m,p}$-saturated subgraph of $K_{n,n,n}$ for $m > p$.

Construction 5. Let ℓ, m, and p be positive integers such that $\ell \geq m > p$ and let $n \geq \ell + \left\lfloor \frac{\ell - m}{2} \right\rfloor - 1$. For each $j \in [3]$, let S_j be an $(m-1)$-vertex subset of V_j and join S_i to V_{i+1} and V_{i+2}. Let $t = \left\lfloor \frac{\ell - m}{2} \right\rfloor$, and for each $j \in [3]$ let T_i be a t-vertex subset of $V_j \setminus S_j$. For all $i \in [3]$, completely join T_i to T_{i+1}. For each $i \in [3]$, let $(V_i \cup V_{i+1}) \setminus (S_i \cup S_{i+1} \cup T_i \cup T_{i+1})$ induce an $(\ell - m)$-regular bipartite graph.

Constructions 4 and 5 yield the following two theorems. The proofs of these theorems follow almost immediately from the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2, respectively, and therefore we omit them.

Theorem 3. *Let ℓ and m be positive integers such that $\ell \geq m$ and let*

$$n \geq \max\left\{\ell + 2, 3\ell + \left\lfloor \frac{\ell - m}{2} \right\rfloor - 2m - 2\right\}.$$

The graph from Construction 4 is a $K_{\ell,m,m}$-saturated subgraph of $K_{n,n,n}$, and thus

$$\mathrm{sat}(K_{n,n,n}, K_{\ell,m,p}) \leq 3(\ell + m)n - 3\left(\ell - m - \left\lfloor \frac{\ell - m}{2} \right\rfloor\right)\left\lfloor \frac{\ell - m}{2} \right\rfloor - 3\ell m - 3.$$

Theorem 4. *Let ℓ, m, and p be positive integers such that $\ell \geq m > p$ and let $n \geq \ell + \left\lfloor \frac{\ell - m}{2} \right\rfloor - 1$. The graph from Construction 5 is a $K_{\ell,m,p}$-saturated subgraph of $K_{n,n,n}$, and thus*

$$\mathrm{sat}(K_{n,n,n}, K_{\ell,m,p}) \leq 3(\ell + m - 2)n - 3(m-1)(\ell - 1) + 3\left\lfloor \frac{\ell - m}{2} \right\rfloor^2 - 3(\ell - m)\left\lfloor \frac{\ell - m}{2} \right\rfloor.$$



Figure 5: Construction 5: A $K_{\ell,m,p}$-saturated subgraph of $K_{n,n,n}$. Solid lines denote complete joins between sets. The lines marked with "$(\ell - m)$-regular" represent the $(\ell - m)$-regular bipartite graphs used in Construction 5.

3 The saturation numbers of $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell}$ and $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell-1}$

In this section we prove the following two theorems on saturation numbers in tripartite graphs.

Theorem 5. *Let ℓ be a positive integer. If n_1, n_2, and n_3 are positive integers such that $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq n_3 \geq 32\ell^3 + 40\ell^2 + 11\ell$, then*

$$sat(K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}, K_{\ell,\ell,\ell}) = 2\ell(n_1 + n_2 + n_3) - 3\ell^2 - 3.$$

Furthermore, the graphs from Constructions 1 and 2 are the only $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell}$-saturated subgraphs of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3} with this number of edges.

Theorem 6. *Let ℓ be a positive integer. If n_1, n_2, and n_3 are positive integers such that $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq n_3 \geq 32(\ell-1)^3 + 40(\ell-1)^2 + 11(\ell-1)$, then*

$$sat(K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}, K_{\ell,\ell,\ell-1}) = 2(\ell-1)(n_1 + n_2 + n_3) - 3(\ell-1)^2.$$

Furthermore, the graph from Construction 3 is the unique $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell-1}$-saturated subgraph of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3} with this number of edges.

Though $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell}$ and $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell-1}$ correspond to different constructions from Section 2, they are both of the form $K_{\ell,\ell,m}$ for $\ell \geq m$. Thus we begin by establishing some common lemmas on the number of edges in $K_{\ell,\ell,m}$-saturated subgraphs of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3} when $m \geq 1$.

Lemma 7. *Let $i \in [3]$ and assume that $n_i \geq (3m+1)(\delta_{i+1} + \delta_{i+2}) + 2m^2 + m$. If G is a $K_{\ell,\ell,m}$-saturated subgraph of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3} such that $\delta_i > 2m$, then $|E(G)| \geq 2m(n_1 + n_2 + n_3)$.*

Proof. For each $j \in [3]$, let v_j be a vertex of degree δ_j in V_j. Each nonneighbor of v_i in $V_{i+1} \cup V_{i+2}$ must have at least m common neighbors with v_i. Therefore there are at least $m(n_{i+1} + n_{i+2} - \delta_i)$ edges joining V_{i+1} and V_{i+2}. Similarly, there are at least $m(n_{i+1} - \delta_{i+2})$ edges joining V_{i+1} and $N_i(v_{i+2})$ and at least $m(n_{i+2} - \delta_{i+1})$ edges joining V_{i+2} and $N_i(v_{i+1})$. Finally, there are at least $\delta_i(n_i - \delta_{i+1} - \delta_{i+2})$ edges incident to $V_i \setminus (N_i(v_{i+1}) \cup N_i(v_{i+2}))$. Summing, we have

$$|E(G)| \geq m(2n_{i+1} + 2n_{i+2} - \delta_{i+1} - \delta_{i+2}) + \delta_i(n_i - \delta_{i+1} - \delta_{i+2} - m).$$

Since $n_i > \delta_{i+1} + \delta_{i+2} + m$, this lower bound is increasing in δ_i. Therefore, if $\delta_i > 2m$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |E(G)| &\geq m(2n_{i+1} + 2n_{i+2} - \delta_{i+1} - \delta_{i+2}) + (2m+1)(n_i - \delta_{i+1} - \delta_{i+2} - m) \\ &\geq 2m(n_1 + n_2 + n_3) + n_i - \left[(3m+1)(\delta_{i+1} + \delta_{i+2}) + 2m^2 + m\right] \\ &\geq 2m(n_1 + n_2 + n_3). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 8. *Let $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq n_3 \geq 32m^3 + 40m^2 + 11m$. If G is a $K_{\ell,\ell,m}$-saturated subgraph of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3} such that $\delta_i > 2m$ for some $i \in [3]$, then $|E(G)| \geq 2m(n_1 + n_2 + n_3)$.*

Proof. First observe that each vertex in V_i has at least m neighbors in both V_{i+1} and V_{i+2} or is completely joined to V_{i+1} or V_{i+2}. Thus $\delta(G) \geq 2m$. There are two cases to consider depending on the order of n_1.

Case 1: $n_1 < 4mn_2$. If $\delta_1 \geq 6m$, then $|E(G)| \geq 6mn_1 \geq 2m(n_1+n_2+n_3)$. Therefore we may assume that $\delta_1 < 6m$. If $\delta_2 \geq 8m^2+4m$, then $|E(G)| \geq (8m^4+4m)n_2 \geq 2m(n_1+n_2+n_3)$. Therefore we may assume that $\delta_2 < 8m^2+4m$. Since $n_3 \geq (3m+1)(8m^2+10m)+2m^2+m$, Lemma 7 implies that if $\delta_3 > 2m$, then $|E(G)| \geq 2m(n_1+n_2+n_3)$. Therefore we may assume that $\delta_3 = 2m$. Lemma 7 now implies that if $\delta_1 > 2m$ or $\delta_2 > 2m$, then $|E(G)| \geq 2m(n_1+n_2+n_3)$.

Case 2: $n_1 > 4mn_2$. If $\delta_1 > 2m$, then $|E(G)| \geq (2m+1)n_1 \geq 2m(n_1+n_2+n_3)$. Therefore we may assume that $\delta_1 = 2m$. Let R be the set of vertices in V_1 with degree $2m$. If $|V_1 \setminus R| \geq 2m(n_2+n_3)$, then $|E(G)| \geq 2m(n_1+n_2+n_3)$. Therefore we assume that $|V_1 \setminus R| < 2m(n_2+n_3)$.

If $v \in R$, then each vertex in $N_2(v)$ is adjacent to every vertex in $V_3 \setminus N_3(v)$. Thus each vertex in $N_2(R)$ has at least n_3-m neighbors in V_3. If $|N_2(R)| \geq 4mn_2/(n_3-m)$, then there are at least $4mn_2$ edges joining V_2 and V_3, and consequently $|E(G)| \geq 2m(n_1+n_2+n_3)$. Therefore we may assume that $|N_2(R)| < 4mn_2/(n_3-m)$.

There are at least $\delta_2(n_2 - 4mn_2/(n_3-m))$ edges incident to $V_2 \setminus N_2(R)$. There are at least $2m(n_1 - 2m(n_2+n_3))$ edges incident to R. Therefore, if $\delta_2 \geq 8m^2+4m+1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
|E(G)| &\geq 2m(n_1 - 2m(n_2+n_3)) + (8m^2+4m+1)\left(n_2 - \frac{4mn_2}{n_3-m}\right) \\
&\geq 2mn_1 + 4mn_2 + n_2 - n_2\left(\frac{(8m^2+4m+1)(4m)}{n_3-m}\right) \\
&\geq 2m(n_1+n_2+n_3).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we may assume that $\delta_2 \leq 8m^2+4m$.

Since $\delta_1 = 2m$, $\delta_2 \leq 8m^2+4m$, and $n_3 \geq (3m+1)(8m^2+6m)+2m^2+m$, Lemma 7 implies that if $\delta_3 > 2m$, then $|E(G)| \geq 2m(n_1+n_2+n_3)$. Therefore we may assume that $\delta_3 = 2m$. It now follows from Lemma 7 that if $\delta_2 > 2m$, then $|E(G)| \geq 2m(n_1+n_2+n_3)$. □

We now prove Theorems 5 and 6.

Proof of Theorem 5. Let G be a $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell}$-saturated subgraph of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3} of minimum size. It follows from Lemma 8 that if $\delta_i > 2\ell$ for any $i \in [3]$, then $|E(G)| \geq 2\ell(n_1+n_2+n_3)$. Since it is clear that $\delta(G) \geq 2\ell$, we assume that $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta_3 = 2\ell$.

For $i \in [3]$, let $v_i \in V_i$ be a vertex of degree 2ℓ. Thus v_i has ℓ neighbors in V_{i+1} and ℓ neighbors in V_{i+2}, and G contains all edges joining $N_{i+1}(v_i)$ to $V_{i+2} \setminus N_{i+2}(v_i)$ and all edges joining $N_{i+2}(v_i)$ to $V_{i+1} \setminus N_{i+1}(v_i)$. Therefore, the vertices of degree 2ℓ in G form an independent set. Let $S = N(v_1) \cup N(v_2) \cup N(v_3)$ and let $S_i = S \cap V_i$. Since v_{i+1} and v_{i+2} have ℓ common neighbors, we conclude that $N_i(v_{i+1}) = N_i(v_{i+2})$ and therefore $|S_i| = \ell$. Since the addition of an edge joining v_i and any vertex in $(V_{i+1} \cup V_{i+2}) \setminus N(v_i)$ completes a copy of $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell}$, there are at least $\ell^2 - 1$ edges joining S_{i+1} and S_{i+2}. Therefore there are at least $\ell(n_{i+1} + n_{i+2}) - \ell^2 - 1$ edges joining V_{i+1} and V_{i+2}. Thus $|E(G)| \geq 2\ell(n_1 + n_2 + n_3) - 3\ell^2 - 3$, and in conjunction with Theorem 1 we conclude that $\mathrm{sat}(K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}, K_{\ell,\ell,\ell}) = 2\ell(n_1 + n_2 + n_3) - 3\ell^2 - 3$.

Since $|E(G)| = 2\ell(n_1 + n_2 + n_3) - 3\ell^2 - 3$, it follows that there are exactly $\ell^2 - 1$ edges joining S_i and S_{i+1} for all $i \in [3]$. Suppose that G is not isomorphic to a graph from Construction 1 or 2. Thus the three nonedges in $G[S]$ do not induce K_3 or P_4. Without loss of generality, assume that $u_i^1 u_{i+1}^1$ is a nonedge in $G[S]$ and the other two nonedges in $G[S]$ are incident to u_i^2 and u_{i+1}^2, respectively. Since G is $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell}$-saturated, there is a subgraph H of $G + v_i v_{i+1}$ that is isomorphic to $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell}$. It follows that H must contain v_i, v_{i+1} and S_{i+2}, and therefore H cannot contain u_i^2 or u_{i+1}^2. Since H must contain ℓ neighbors of v_i in V_{i+1} and $u_{i+1}^2 \notin H$, we conclude that $u_{i+1}^1 \in H$. Similarly, it follows that $u_i^1 \in H$. However, this implies that H contains the nonedge $u_i^1 u_{i+1}^1$, a contradiction. Therefore, G is isomorphic to a graph from Construction 1 or 2. □

Proof of Theorem 6. Let G be a $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell-1}$-saturated subgraph of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3} of minimum size. It follows from Lemma 8 that if $\delta_i > 2(\ell-1)$ for any $i \in [3]$, then $|E(G)| \geq 2(\ell-1)(n_1+n_2+n_3)$. It is clear that $\delta(G) \geq 2(\ell-1)$, and thus we assume that $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta_3 = 2(\ell-1)$.

For $i \in [3]$, let $v_i \in V_i$ be a vertex of degree $2(\ell-1)$. Thus v_i has $\ell-1$ neighbors in V_{i+1} and $\ell-1$ neighbors in V_{i+2}, and G contains all edges joining $N_{i+1}(v_i)$ to $V_{i+2} \setminus N_{i+2}(v_i)$ and all edges joining $N_{i+2}(v_i)$ to $V_{i+1} \setminus N_{i+1}(v_i)$. Therefore, the vertices of degree $2(\ell-1)$ in G form an independent set. Let $S = N(v_1) \cup N(v_2) \cup N(v_3)$ and let $S_i = S \cap V_i$. Since v_{i+1} and v_{i+2} have $\ell-1$ common neighbors, we conclude that $N_i(v_{i+1}) = N_i(v_{i+2})$ and therefore $|S_i| = \ell-1$. Furthermore, since the addition of an edge joining v_i and a vertex in $V_{i+1} \setminus N_{i+1}(v_i)$ yields a copy of $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell-1}$, it follows that $N_{i+1}(v_i)$ and $N_{i+2}(v_i)$ must be completely joined. Thus, S_i and S_{i+1} are completely joined for all $i \in [3]$. Therefore the graph from Construction 4 is a subgraph of G. Since G is $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell-1}$-saturated, it follows that G is isomorphic to the graph from Construction 4, and therefore $\mathrm{sat}(K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}, K_{\ell,\ell,\ell-1}) = 2(\ell-1)(n_1+n_2+n_3) - 3(\ell-1)^2$. □

We note that it is possible to lower the bounds on n_3 in Theorems 5 and 6 through a

more careful analysis of the algebra in Lemmas 7 and 8. However, this appears still to yield a lower bound on n_3 that is cubic in ℓ, and mainly distracts from the main ideas of the proof.

4 The saturation number of $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell-2}$

In this section we prove that the graph from Construction 5 is within an additive constant of the minimum number of edges in a $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell-2}$-saturated subgraph of $K_{n,n,n}$. Given two sets of vertices S and T, we let $[S,T]$ denote the set of edges with one endpoint in S and one endpoint in T.

Theorem 9. *Let ℓ be a positive integer. For n sufficiently large,*

$$\mathrm{sat}(K_{n,n,n}, K_{\ell,\ell,\ell-2}) \geq 6(\ell-1)n - (72\ell^2 - 40\ell + 54).$$

Proof. Let G be a $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell-2}$-saturated subgraph of $K_{n,n,n}$. If $\delta_i(G) \geq 6(\ell-1)$ for some $i \in [3]$, then $|E(G)| \geq 6(\ell-1)n$. Therefore we may assume that $\delta_i < 6(\ell-1)$ for all $i \in [3]$, and consequently a vertex of degree δ_i in V_i must have nonneighbors in both V_{i+1} and V_{i+2}. Assume that v is a vertex of degree at most $2\ell-3$ in V_i. If $|N_{i+1}(v)| < \ell-2$, the the addition of an edge joining v and V_{i+2} does not complete a copy of $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell-2}$. Therefore we may assume without loss of generality that $2\ell-4 \leq d(v) \leq 2\ell-3$ and v has $\ell-2$ neighbors in V_{i+1} and at most $\ell-1$ neighbors in V_{i+2}. It follows that the addition of an edge joining v and V_{i+1} does not complete a copy of $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell-2}$, and therefore G is not $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell-2}$-saturated. We conclude that $\delta_i \geq 2\ell-2$ for all $i \in [3]$.

Let $c = 72\ell^2 - 40\ell + 54$. If $|[V_i, V_{i+1}]| \geq 2(\ell-1)n - c/3$ for all $i \in [3]$, then $|E(G)| \geq 6(\ell-1)n - c$. Therefore we may assume that $|[V_{i+1}, V_{i+2}]| < 2(\ell-1)n - c/3$ for some $i \in [3]$. Let $v_i \in V_i$ have degree δ_i. Every vertex in $V_{i+1} \setminus N_{i+1}(v_i)$ is adjacent to at least $\ell-2$ vertices in $N_{i+2}(v_i)$. If v' is a vertex in V_i that has only $\ell-2$ neighbors in V_{i+2}, then each vertex in $V_{i+2} \setminus N_{i+2}(v')$ has ℓ neighbors in $N_{i+1}(v')$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
|[V_{i+1}, V_{i+2}]| &\geq (\ell-2)(n-\delta_i) + \ell(n-\delta_i-\ell+2) \\
&\geq 2(\ell-1)n - ((2\ell-2)\delta_i + \ell^2 - 2\ell) \\
&\geq 2(\ell-1)n - (13\ell^2 - 26\ell + 12),
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore we assume that every vertex in V_i has at least $\ell-1$ neighbors in V_{i+2}, and by symmetry, also in V_{i+1}.

Let $X_i^0 = N(v_i)$. For $k \geq 1$, recursively define X_i^k to be the vertices in $(V_{i+1} \cup V_{i+2}) \setminus (\bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} X_i^j)$ that have at least $\ell - 1$ neighbors in $\bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} X_i^j$. Define X_i to be the set of vertices that are in X_i^k for any value of k. By definition, $G[X_i]$ contains at least $(\ell-1)(|X_i| - \delta_i)$ edges.

Let $R_i = (V_{i+1} \cup V_{i+2}) \setminus X_i$. Note that each vertex in R_i is adjacent to exactly $\ell - 2$ vertices in $N(v_i)$. Let $T_{i,1}, \ldots, T_{i,a_i}$ be the components of $G[R_i]$ that are trees. Thus $G[R_i]$ contains at least $|R_i| - a_i$ edges, and

$$|[V_{i+1}, V_{i+2}]| \geq (\ell-1)(2n - \delta_i) - a_i \geq 2(\ell-1)n - 6(\ell-1)^2 - a_i. \tag{1}$$

If $T_{i,b}$ consists of single vertex $v \in V_{i+1}$ and $T_{i,b'}$ consists of a single vertex $u \in V_{i+2}$, then the addition of uv cannot complete a copy of $K_{\ell,\ell,\ell-2}$ in G. Therefore, since $N_{i+1}(v_i)$ and $N_{i+2}(v_i)$ are nonempty,

$$a_i \leq \max\{|R_i \cap V_{i+1}|, |R_i \cap V_{i+2}|\} < n. \tag{2}$$

Observe that

$$|E(G)| \geq \sum_{j=1}^{a_i} \left(|E(T_{i,j})| + |[V(T_{i,j}), V_i]|\right).$$

If $|E(T_{i,j})| + |[V(T_{i,j}), V_i]| > 6(\ell-1)n/a_i$ for all $j \in [a_i]$, then $|E(G)| > 6(\ell-1)n$. Therefore we assume that there is a component T_{i,k_i} of $G[R_i]$ such that $|E(T_{i,k_i})| + |E(T_{i,k_i}, V_i)| \leq 6(\ell-1)n/a_i$. Thus $|V(T_{i,k_i})| \leq 6(\ell-1)n/a_i + 1$. If $x \in V_{i+2} \cap V(T_{i,k_i})$ and $w \in V_{i+1} \setminus V(T_{i,k_i})$, then the addition of xw cannot complete a copy of $K_{\ell,\ell}$ in $V_{i+1} \cup V_{i+2}$. Therefore each vertex in $w \in V_{i+1} \setminus V(T_{i,k_i})$ has at least ℓ neighbors in $N_i(x)$. Observe that $|N_i(x)| \leq 6(\ell-1)n/a_i$. Similarly, for $x \in V_{i+1} \cap V(T_{i,k_i})$, every vertex in $V_{i+2} \setminus V(T_{i,k_i})$ has at least ℓ neighbors in $N_i(x)$, and $|N_i(x)| \leq 6(\ell-1)n/a_i$. We consider two cases.

Case 1: *For some $i \in 3$, $|[V_{i+1}, V_{i+2}]| < 2(\ell-1)n - c/3$ and T_{i,k_i} contains vertices in both V_{i+1} and V_{i+2}.* Let $x_{i+1} \in V_{i+1} \cap V(T_{i,k_i})$ and let $x_{i+2} \in V_{i+2} \cap V(T_{i,k_i})$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{v \in V_i} d(v) &\geq \delta_i(n - d_i(x_{i+1}) - d_i(x_{i+2})) + \ell(n - d_{i+2}(x_{i+1})) + \ell(n - d_{i+1}(x_{i+2})) \\ &\geq 2(\ell-1)(n - 12(\ell-1)n/a_i) + 2\ell(n - 6(\ell-1)n/a_i)\end{aligned}$$

Summing the edges we have

$$\begin{aligned}|E(G)| &\geq |[V_{i+1}, V_{i+2}]| + \sum_{v \in V_i} d(v) \\ &\geq 2(\ell-1)n - 6(\ell-1)^2 - a_i + 2(\ell-1)(n - 12(\ell-1)n/a_i) + 2\ell(n - 6(\ell-1)n/a_i) \\ &\geq -a_i + (6(\ell-1) + 2)n - 6(\ell-1)^2 - (36\ell^2 - 60\ell + 24)n/a_i.\end{aligned}$$

If $|E(G)| < 6(\ell-1)n$, then we conclude that

$$a_i < (n-3(\ell-1)^2) - \sqrt{(n-3(\ell-1)^2)^2 - (36\ell^2-60\ell+24)n} \quad \text{or}$$
$$a_i > (n-3(\ell-1)^2) + \sqrt{(n-3(\ell-1)^2)^2 - (36\ell^2-60\ell+24)n}.$$

From (2) we know that $a_i < n$, so we conclude that for n sufficiently large,

$$a_i < (n-3(\ell-1)^2) - \sqrt{(n-3(\ell-1)^2)^2 - (36\ell^2-60\ell+24)n}.$$

Since

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (n-3(\ell-1)^2) - \sqrt{(n-3(\ell-1)^2)^2 - (36\ell^2-60\ell+24)n} = 18\ell^2-30\ell+12,$$

it follows from the integrality of a_i that for n sufficiently large, $a_i \leq 18\ell^2-30\ell+12$. Therefore $|[V_{i+1}, V_{i+2}]| \geq 2(\ell-1)n - 6(\ell-1)^2 - (18\ell^2-30\ell+12) \geq 2(\ell-1)n - c/3$, a contradiction.

Case 2: *For some $i \in 3$, $|[V_{i+1}, V_{i+2}]| < 2(\ell-1)n - c/3$ and $T_{i,k_i} \cap V_{i+1} = \varnothing$ or $T_{i,k_i} \cap V_{i+2} = \varnothing$.* Without loss of generality we assume that $|[V_2, V_3]| < 2(\ell-1)n - c/3$ and $T_{1,k_1} \cap V_3 = \varnothing$. Thus T_{1,k_1} consists of a single vertex in V_2 that has only $\ell-2$ neighbors in V_3; call this vertex x. Furthermore, $d(x) \leq 6(\ell-1)n/a_1$. Since the addition of an edge joining x to V_3 cannot complete a copy of $K_{\ell,\ell}$ in $V_2 \cup V_3$, each nonneighbor of x in V_3 has at least ℓ neighbors in $N_1(x)$. Since every vertex in V_1 has at least $\ell-1$ neighbors in V_3, we conclude that $|[V_1, V_3]| \geq (2\ell-1)(n - 6(\ell-1)n/a_1)$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
|E(G)| &= |[V_1, V_2]| + |[V_1, V_3]| + |[V_2, V_3]| \\
&\geq |[V_1, V_2]| + (2\ell-1)(n - 6(\ell-1)n/a_1) + (2(\ell-1)n - 6(\ell-1)^2 - a_1) \\
&= |[V_1, V_2]| + 4(\ell-1)n + n - (12\ell^2-18\ell+6)n/a_1 - 6(\ell-1)^2 - a_1.
\end{aligned}$$

First assume that $|[V_1, V_2]| \geq 2(\ell-1)n - c/3$. If $|E(G)| < 6(\ell-1)n - c$, then

$$0 \geq -a_1 + n - 6(\ell-1)^2 + 2c/3 - (12\ell^2-18\ell+6)n/a_1,$$

which requires

$$a_1 < \frac{1}{2}\left(n - 6(\ell-1)^2 + 2c/3 - \sqrt{(n-6(\ell-1)^2+2c/3)^2 - (48\ell^2-72\ell+24)n}\right) \quad \text{or} \quad (3)$$
$$a_1 > \frac{1}{2}\left(n - 6(\ell-1)^2 + 2c/3 + \sqrt{(n-6(\ell-1)^2+2c/3)^2 - (48\ell^2-72\ell+24)n}\right). \quad (4)$$

Since $c \geq 45\ell^2-72\ell+27$, it follows that $2c/3 \geq 30\ell^2-48\ell+18 \geq 24\ell^2-36\ell+12+6(\ell-1)^2$. Therefore, if inequality (4) holds, then $a_1 \geq n$. This violates inequality (2), so we conclude that

$$a_1 < \frac{1}{2}\left(n - 6(\ell-1)^2 + 2c/3 - \sqrt{(n-6(\ell-1)^2+2c/3)^2 - (48\ell^2-72\ell+24)n}\right).$$

Since

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n-6(\ell-1)^2+\frac{2}{3}c-\sqrt{\left(n-6(\ell-1)^2+\frac{2}{3}c\right)^2-(48\ell^2-72\ell+24)n}}{2} = 12\ell^2-18\ell+6,$$

it follows from the integrality of a_1 that for n sufficiently large, $a_1 \leq 12\ell^2-18\ell+6$. Therefore $|[V_2,V_3]| \geq 2(\ell-1)n-6(\ell-1)^2-(12\ell^2-18\ell+6) \geq 2(\ell-1)n-c/3$, a contradiction.

Now assume that $|[V_1,V_2]| < 2(\ell-1)n-c/3$. Therefore T_{3,k_3} exists. If T_{3,k_3} contains vertices in both V_1 and V_2, then by Case 1 we conclude that $|E(G)| \geq 6(\ell-1)n-c$. Therefore we assume that T_{3,k_3} contains a single vertex $y \in V_1 \cup V_2$, and $d(y) \leq 6(\ell-1)n/a_3$. Since every vertex in V_1 has at least $\ell-1$ neighbors in both V_2 and V_3 and y has only $\ell-2$ neighbors in $V_1 \cup V_2$, we conclude that $y \in V_2$.

The $n-(\ell-2)$ nonneighbors of x in V_3 each have at least ℓ neighbors in $N_1(x)$. Similarly, each vertex in $V_1 \setminus (N_1(x) \cup N_1(y))$ has at least ℓ neighbors in $N_3(y)$. Since $|V_1 \setminus (N_1(x) \cup N_1(y))| \geq n-6(\ell-1)n/a_1-(\ell-2)$, we conclude that

$$|[V_1,V_3]| \geq 2\ell n-6\ell(\ell-1)n/a_1-2\ell(\ell-2).$$

Using inequalities (1) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|E(G)| &= |[V_1,V_3]|+|[V_2,V_3]|+|[V_1,V_2]| \\
&\geq (2\ell n-6\ell(\ell-1)n/a_1-2\ell(\ell-2))+(4(\ell-1)n-12(\ell-1)^2-a_1-a_3) \\
&\geq -a_1+6(\ell-1)n+2n-a_3-(14\ell^2-28\ell+12)-6\ell(\ell-1)n/a_1 \\
&\geq -a_1+6(\ell-1)n+n-(14\ell^2-28\ell+12)-6\ell(\ell-1)n/a_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $|E(G)| < 6(\ell-1)n-c$ only if

$$a_1 < \frac{1}{2}\left(n+c-(14\ell^2-28\ell+12)-\sqrt{(n+c-(14\ell^2-28\ell+12))^2-24\ell(\ell-1)n}\right) \quad \text{or} \tag{5}$$

$$a_1 > \frac{1}{2}\left(n+c-(14\ell^2-28\ell+12)+\sqrt{(n+c-(14\ell^2-28\ell+12))^2-24\ell(\ell-1)n}\right). \tag{6}$$

Since $c \geq 26\ell^2-40\ell+12$, it follows that $c-(14\ell^2-28\ell+12) \geq 12\ell(\ell-1)$. Therefore, if inequality (6) holds, then $a_1 \geq n$. This violates inequality (2), so we conclude that

$$a_1 < \frac{1}{2}\left(n+c-(14\ell^2-28\ell+12)-\sqrt{(n+c-(14\ell^2-28\ell+12))^2-24\ell(\ell-1)n}\right).$$

Since

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\left(n+c-(14\ell^2-28\ell+12)-\sqrt{(n+c-(14\ell^2-28\ell+12))^2-24\ell(\ell-1)n}\right)}{2} = 6\ell(\ell-1),$$

it follows from the integrality of a_1 that for n sufficiently large, $a_1 \leq 6\ell(\ell-1)$. Therefore $|[V_2,V_3]| \geq 2(\ell-1)n-6(\ell-1)^2-6\ell(\ell-1) \geq 2(\ell-1)n-c/3$, a contradiction. $\square$

5 Conclusion

We conclude with several open questions and conjectures. First, we conjecture that in a sufficiently large, sufficiently unbalanced host graph, the constructions in Section 2 are best possible.

Conjecture 10. *Let ℓ and m be positive integers such that $\ell > m$. For $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq n_3$, n_3 sufficiently large compared to ℓ, and n_1 sufficiently large compared to n_3,*

$$\text{sat}(K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}, K_{\ell,m,m}) = 2m(n_1 + n_2 + n_3) + (\ell - m)(n_2 + 2n_3) - 3\ell m - 3.$$

Conjecture 11. *Let ℓ, m, and p be positive integers such that $\ell \geq m > p$. For $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq n_3$, n_3 sufficiently large compared to ℓ, and n_1 sufficiently large compared to n_3,*

$$\text{sat}(K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}, K_{\ell,m,p}) = 2(m-1)(n_1 + n_2 + n_3) + (\ell - m)(n_2 + 2n_3) - 3\ell(m-1) + 3m - 3.$$

Following the direction taken in [5], one can study the saturation number of $K_{\ell,m,p}$ in k-partite graphs for $k > 3$. The following is the logical place to begin such research.

Question 1. Let K_k^n denote the complete k-partite graph in which all partite sets have size n. For $\ell \geq 2$, $k \geq 4$, and n sufficiently large, what is $\text{sat}(K_k^n, K_{\ell,\ell,\ell})$?

We also note that if G is a graph with chromatic number at most 3, then determining $\text{sat}(K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}, G)$ is nontrivial. Thus it is natural to consider the saturation number of bipartite graphs in complete tripartite graphs. As a first example, we compute the saturation number of C_4 in tripartite graphs.

Proposition 12. *For $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq n_3 \geq 2$,*

$$\text{sat}(K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}, C_4) = n_1 + n_2 + n_3.$$

Proof. It is clear that a C_4-saturated subgraph of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3} must be connected, and no spanning tree of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3} is C_4-saturated. It is also straightforward to check that the graph with edge set $\{v_i^1 v_{i+1}^j | i \in [3], j \in [n_{i+1}]\}$ is C_4-saturated (see Figure 6). □

Observe that $\text{sat}(K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}, C_4)$ and the sharpness example are not obtained using the bipartite saturation number of C_4. Thus it appears that the study of saturation numbers of bipartite graphs in tripartite graphs will differ from the work initiated in [6] and [7].



Figure 6: A C_4-saturated subgraph of K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}. Solid lines denote complete joins between two sets.

References

[1] B. Bollobás, Determination of extremal graphs by using weights. *Wiss. Z. Techn. Hochsch. Ilmenau* **13** (1967), 419–421.

[2] B. Bollobás, On a conjecture of Erdős, Hajnal and Moon. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **74** (1967), 178–179.

[3] P. Erdős, A. Hajnal and J.W. Moon, A problem in graph theory, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **71** (1964), 1107–1110.

[4] J. Faudree, R. Faudree, and J. Schmitt, A survey of minimum saturated graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* **18** (2011), Dynamic Survey 19, 36 pp. (electronic).

[5] M. Ferrara, M. Jacobson, F. Pfender, and P. Wenger, Graph saturation in multipartite graphs. arXiv:1408.3137v1 [math.CO].

[6] W. Gan, D. Korándi, and B. Sudakov, $K_{s,t}$-saturated bipartite graphs. arXiv:1402.2471v2 [math.CO].

[7] G. Moshkovitz and A. Shapira, Exact bounds for some hypergraph saturation problems, arXiv:1209.3598v2 [math.CO].

[8] W. Wessel, Uber eine klasse paarer graphen, I: Beweis einer vermutung von Erdős, Hajnal, und Moon, *Wiss. Z. Tech. Hochsch. Ilmenau*, **12** (1966), 253–256.

[9] W. Wessel, Uber eine Klasse paarer Graphen. II. Bestimmung der Mini- malgraphen, *Wiss. Z. Techn. Hochsch. Ilmenau*, **13**, 423–426.